

Welfare Articles

General Welfare

1. Appleby M. and Sandoe P. 2002. Philosophical Debate on the Nature of Well-being: Implications for Animal Welfare. *Animal Welfare*, 11:283-294.
2. Broom D. 1999. Animal welfare: The Concept of the Issues. Attitudes to Animals: Views in Animal Welfare, 129-143.
3. Broom, D.M. 1986. Indicators of Poor Welfare. *British Veterinary Journal*: 142, 524-526.
4. Broom, D.M. 1988. The Scientific Assessment of Animal Welfare. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*: 20, 5-19.
5. Broom, D.M. 1991a. Animal Welfare: Concepts and Measurement. *Journal of Animal Science*: 69, 4167-4175.
6. Broom, D.M. 1991b. Assessing Welfare and Suffering. *Behavioural Processes*: 25, 117-123.
7. Broom, D.M. 1995. Measuring the Effects of Management Methods, Systems, High Production Efficiency and Biotechnology on Farm Animal Welfare. In: T.B. Mepham, G.A. Tucker & J. Wiseman (Eds.), *Issues in Agricultural Bioethics*. Nottingham University Press, Nottingham: 319-334.
8. Broom, D.M. 1996a. Animal Welfare Defined in Terms of Attempts to Cope with the Environment. *Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Section A, Animal Science*: 27, 22-28.
9. Broom, D.M. 1997. Welfare Evaluation. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*: 54, 21-23.
10. Duncan, I.J.H. 1993. The Science of Animal Well-being. Animal Welfare Information Center Newsletter: 4(1): 1, 1-7.
11. Fraser A. and Broom D. 1990. Welfare Terminology and Concepts. *Farm Animal Behavior and Welfare*, 256-279.

12. Fraser, D. 1993. Assessing Animal Well-being: Common Sense, Uncommon Science. Food Animal Well-Being; Conference Proceedings and Deliberations: 37-54.
13. Fraser, D. 1999. Animal Ethics and Animal Welfare Science: Bridging the Two Cultures." *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*: 65, 171-189.
14. Gonyou, H.W. 1996. Design Criteria: Should Freedom of Movement be Retained. *Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Section A, Animal Science*: 27, 36-39.
15. Haynes R. 2001. Do Regulators of Animal Welfare Need to Develop a Theory of Psychological Well-being. *Journal of Agricultural & Environmental Ethics*: 14, 231-240.
16. Hurst, J.L. 2000. Assessing Animal Welfare by Design – Conceptual Issues and Experimental Approaches. *Advances in Ethology*: 35, 7-10.
17. Mendl, M. 2001. Assessing the Welfare State. *Nature*: 410, 31-32.
18. Odendaal, J.S.J. 1998. Animal Welfare in Practice. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*: 59, 93-99.
19. Olfert E., Cross B., and McWilliam A. 1993. Social and Behavioral Requirements of Experimental Animals. *Guide to the Care and Use of Experimental Animals: Volume 1*.
20. Rushen, J. 1991a. "Assessing Animal Welfare: Design Versus Performance Criteria." *Behavioural and Brain Sciences*: 14, 758.
21. Weary, D.M. & Fraser, D. 1995. Signaling Need: Costly Signals and Animal Welfare Assessment. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*: 44, 159-169.
22. Webster J. 1994. "Introduction: Man's Domain." *Animal Welfare: A Cool Eye Towards Eden*: 3-265.
23. Wemelsfelder, F. 1997. The Scientific Validity of Subject Concepts in Models of Animal Welfare. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*: 53, 75-88.
24. Wilson A. 1992. Measuring Animal Well-being. *Nature* 356, 556.

25. Barnett, J.L. & Hemsworth, P.H. 1990. The Validity of Physiological and Behavioural Measures of Animal welfare. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*: 25, 177-187.
26. Dawkins, M.S. 1988. Behavioural Deprivation: A Central Problem in Animal Welfare. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*: 20, 209-225.
27. Dawkins, M.S. 1990. From an Animal's Point of View: Motivation, Fitness, and Animal Welfare. *Behavioural and Brain Sciences*: 13, 1-61.
28. Dawkins, M.S. 1997. D.G.M. Wood-Gush Memorial lecture: Why has There not Been More Progress in Animal Welfare Research? *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*: 53, 59-73.
29. Dawkins, M.S. 1998. Evolution and Animal Welfare. *The Quarterly Review of Biology*: 73, 305-328.
30. Duncan, I.J.H. 1974. A Scientific Assessment of Welfare. *Proc. Br. Soc. Anim. Prod*: 3: 9-19.
31. Duncan, I.J.H. & Petherick, J.C. 1991. The Implications of Cognitive Processes for Animal Welfare. *Journal of Animal Science*: 69, 5017-5022.
32. Fraser, D. 1995. Science, Values and Animal Welfare: Exploring the 'Inextricable Connection'. *Animal Welfare*: 4, 103-117.
33. Fraser, D., Weary, D.M., Pajor, E.A. & Milligan, B.N. 1997. A Scientific Conception of Animal Welfare that Reflects Ethical Concerns. *Animal Welfare*: 6, 187-205.
34. Mason, G.J. 2001. Animal Welfare.
35. Mason, G.J. & Mendl, M. 1993. Why is there No Simple Way of Measuring Animal Welfare? *Animal Welfare*: 2, 301-319.
36. Mason, G.J., Cooper, J. & Clarebrough, C. 2001. Frustrations of Fur-farmed Mink. *Nature*: 410, 35-36.
37. Mendl, M. 1991. Some Problems with the Concept of a Cut-off Point for Determining when an Animal's Welfare is at Risk. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*: 31, 139-146.

38. Rushen, J. & de Passille, A.M.B. 1992. The Scientific Assessment of the Impact of Housing on Animal Welfare: A Critical Review. *Canadian Journal of Animal Science*: 72, 721-743.

39. Webster, A.J.F. 1998. What Use is Science to Animal Welfare? *Naturwissenschaften*: 85, 262-269.

Animal Welfare vs. Animal Rights

1. Pollan M. 2002. An Animal's Place. *New York Times*: November 10, 2002: 1-12.

Behavior & Welfare

1. Banks, E.M. 1982. Behavioral Research to Answer Questions about Animal Welfare. *Journal of Animal Science*: 54, 434-446.

2. Beilharz, R.G. & Zeeb, K. 1981. Applied Ethology and Animal Welfare. *Applied Animal Ethology*: 7, 3-10.

3. Gonyou, H.W. 1994. Why the Study of Animal Behaviour is Associated with the Animal Welfare issue. *Journal of Animal Science*: 72, 2171-2177.

4. Laule, G. 1995. Addressing Animal Welfare from a Behavioural Perspective. AZA Annual Conference Proceedings: 333-338.

Behavior, Welfare and Environmental Design

1. Appleby, M.C. 1997a. Life in a Variable World: Behaviour, Welfare and Environmental Design. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*: 54, 1-19.

2. Appleby, M.C. 1997b. Life in a Variable World: Response to Commentaries. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*: 54, 71-72.

3. Broom, D.M. 1997. Welfare Evaluation. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*: 54, 21-23.

4. Curtis, E.C. 1997. We Need Theoretical Frameworks to Potential Application of Bits and Pieces of Knowledge to Support Animal Well-being. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*: 54, 25-28.
5. Fraser, D. 1997. Science in a Value-laden World: Keeping our Thinking Straight. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*: 54, 29-32.
6. Jensen, K.K. & Sandøe, P. 1997. Animal Welfare: Relative or Absolute. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*: 54, 33-37.
7. Ladewig, J. 1997. How to Live in a Variable World: Keep your Mind Flexible. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*: 54, 39-41.
8. Lawrence, A.B. 1997. Smart Behaviour in a Variable World. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*: 54, 43-45.
9. Mendl, M. 1997. Responses to Supernormal Stimuli and their Implications for Animal Welfare: Can Animals have too much of a Good Thing. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*: 54, 47-51.
10. Nicol, C.J. 1997. Making Sense of Acquired Functional Categories and Conditional Discriminations. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*: 54, 59-62.
11. Wemelsfelder, F. 1997. Life in Captivity: Its Lack of Opportunities for Variable Behaviour. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*: 54, 67-70.

Feelings & Personalities

1. Broom, D.M. 1998. Welfare, Stress, and the Evolution of Feelings. *Advances in the Study of Behaviour*: 27, 371-403.
2. Dawkins M. 2001. Who Needs Consciousness? *Animal Welfare*, 10: 19-29.
3. Hansen, S.W. & Moller, S.H. 2001. The Application of a Temperament Test to On-farm Selection of Mink. *Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Section A, Animal Science, Supplementum*: 30, 93-98.
4. Wemelsfelder, F., Hunter, T.E.A., Mendl, M.T. & Lawrence, A.B. 2001. Assessing the 'Whole Animal': A Free Choice Profiling Approach. *Animal Behaviour*: 62, 209-220.

Measurement Systems

1. Alban, L., Ersboll, A.K., Bennedsgaard, T.W. & Johnsen, P.F. 2001. Validation of Welfare Assessment Methods at Herd Level: An Example. *Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Section A, Animal Science, Supplementum*: 30, 99-102.
2. Amon, T., Amon, B., Ofner, E. & Boxberger, J. 2001. Precision of Assessment of Animal Welfare by the 'TGI 35 L' Austrian needs Index. *Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Section A, Animal Science, Supplementum*: 30, 114-117.
3. Anonymous 2001. Scientists' Assessment of the Impact of Housing and Management on Animal Welfare. *Journal of Applied Animal Welfare Science*: 4, 3-52.
4. Bartussek H. (N.D.) How to Measure Animal Welfare?
5. Bartussek, H. 1999. A review of the Animal needs Index (ANI) for the Assessment of Animals' Well-being in the Housing Systems for Austrian Proprietary Products and Legislation. *Livestock Production Science*: 61, 179-192.
6. Bartussek, H. 2001. An Historical Account of the Development of the Animal Needs Index ANI-35L as Part of the Attempt to Promote and Regulate Farm Animal Welfare in Austria: An Example of the Interaction Between Animal Welfare Science and Society. *Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Section A, Animal Science, Supplementum*: 30, 34-41.
7. Bracke, M.B.M., Metz, J.H.M. & Spruijt, B.M. 2001. Development of a Decision Support System to Assess Farm Animal Welfare. *Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Section A, Animal Science, Supplementum*: 30, 17-20.
8. Horning, B. 2001. The assessment of Housing Conditions of Dairy Cows in Littered Loose Housing Systems Using Three Scoring Methods. *Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Section A, Animal Science, Supplementum*: 30, 42-47.
9. Johnsen, P.F., Johannesson, T. & Sandøe, P. 2001. Assessment of Farm Animal Welfare at Herd Level: Many Goals, Many Methods. *Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Section A, Animal Science Supplementum*: 30, 26-33.

10. Main, D.C.J., Webster, A.J.F. & Green, L.E. 2001. Animal Welfare Assessment in Farm Assurance Schemes. *Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Section A, Animal Science, Supplementum*: 30, 108-113.
11. Pedersen V. 1996. Combined Behavioural and Physiological Measurements as a Basis of the Assessment of Animal Welfare. *Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Section A, Animal Science, Supplementum*: 27, 69-75.
12. Rousing, T., Bonde, M. & Sorensen, J.T. 2001. Aggregating Welfare Indicators into an Operational Welfare as Assessment System: a Bottom-up Approach. *Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Section A, Animal Science, Supplementum*: 30, 53-57.
13. Rushen, J. 1996. Using Aversion Learning Techniques to Assess the Mental State, Suffering, and Welfare of Farm Animals. *Journal of Animal Science*: 74, 1990-1995.
14. Schulte, R., Earley, B., Wouw, S.V.D. & Culleton, N. 1998. Animal Welfare – Development of Methodology for it's Assessment. *Farm and Food, Autumn*: 20-23.
15. Scott, E.M., Nilan, A.M. & Fitzpatrick, L. 2001. Conceptual and Methodological Issues Related to Welfare Assessment: A Framework for Measurement. *Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Section A, Animal Science Supplementum*: 30, 5-10.
16. Simonsen, H.B. 1996. Assessment of Animal Welfare by a Holistic Approach: Behaviour, Health and Measured Opinion. *Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Section A, Animal Science*: 27, 91-96.
17. Sorensen, J.T., Sandøe, P. & Halberg, N. 2001. Animal Welfare as One Among Several Values to be Considered as Farm Level: The Idea of an Ethical Account for Livestock Darming. *Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Section A, Animal Science, Supplementum*: 30, 11-16.
18. Sundrum, A. & Rubelowski, I. 2001. The Meaningfulness of Design Criteria in Relation to the Mortality of Fattening Bulls. *Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Section A, Animal Science, Supplementum*: 30, 48-52.
19. Vestergaard, K.S. 1996. Assessing Animal Welfare: The Significance of Causal Studies of Behaviour at the Motivational Level. *Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Section A, Animal Science*: 27, 61-63.

20. Wechsler, B., Frohlich, E., Oester, H., Oswald, T., Troxler, J., Weber, R. & Schmid, H. 1997. The Contribution of Applied Ethology in Judging Animal Welfare in Farm Animal Housing Systems. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*: 53, 33-43.

21. Wemelsfelder, F. & Lawrence, A.B. 2001. Qualitative Assessment of Animal Behaviour as an On-farm Welfare-monitoring Tool. *Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Section A, Animal Science Supplementum*: 30, 21-25.

22. Winckler, C. & Willen, S. 2001. The Reliability and Repeatability of a Lameness Scoring System for Use as an Indicator of Welfare in Dairy Cattle. *Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Section A, Animal Science, Supplementum*: 30, 103-107.

The State of Animals in 2001

1. Armstrong M., Tomasello S. and Hunter C. 2001. From Pets to Companion Animals. *The State of Animals 2001*: 71-85.

2. Ascione F. and Lockwood R. 2001. Cruelty to Animals: Changing Psychological, Social and Legislative Perspectives. *The State of Animals 2001*: 39-53.

3. Fraser D., Mench J. and Millman S. 2001. Farm Animals and Their Welfare in 2000. *The State of Animals 2001*: 87-99.

4. Grandin T. 2001. Progress in Livestock Handling and Slaughter Techniques in the United States, 1970-2000. *The State of Animals 2001*: 101-110.

5. Hadidian J. and Smith S. 2001. Urban Wildlife. *The State of Animals 2001*: 165-182.

6. Hancocks D. 2001. Is There a Place in the World for Zoos? *The State of Animals 2001*: 137-147.

7. Herzog H., Rowan A. and Kossow D. 2001. Social Attitudes and Animals. *The State of Animals 2001*: 55-69.

8. Irwin P. 2001. Overview: The State of Animals in 2001. *The State of Animals 2001*: 1-19.

9. Jenkins L. and Stumberg R. 2001. Animal Protection in a World Dominated by the World Trade Organization. *The State of Animals 2001*: 149-164.
10. Kirkpatrick J. and Rutberg A. 2001. Fertility Control in Animals. *The State of Animals 2001*: 183-198.
11. Rowan A. and Loew F. 2001. Animal Research: A Review of Developments, 1950-2000. *The State of Animals 2001*: 111-120.
12. Stephens M., Goldberg A. and Rowan A. 2001. The First Forty Years of the Alternatives Approach: Refining, Reducing, and Replacing the Use of Laboratory Animals. *The State of Animals 2001*: 121-135.
13. Unti B. and Rowan A. 2001. A Social History of Postwar Animal Protection. *The State of Animals 2001*: 21-37

Stress & Welfare

1. Beerda, B., Schilder, M.B.H., Janssen, N.S.C.R.M. & Mol, J.A. 1996. The Use of Saliva Cortisol, Urinary Cortisol, and Catecholamine Measurements for a Noninvasive Assessment of Stress Responses in Dogs. *Hormones and Behaviour*: 30, 272-279.
2. Breazile, J.E. 1987. Physiologic Basis and Consequences of Distress in Animals. *JAVMA*: 191, 1212-1215.
3. Carlstead K. and Shepherdson D. Alleviating Stress in Zoo Animals with Environmental Enrichment.
4. Cook, C.J., Mellor, D.J., Harris, P.J., Ingram, J.R. & Matthews, L.R. 2000. Hands-on and Hands-off Measurement of Stress. In: G.P. Moberg & J.A. Mench (Eds), *The Biology of Animal Stress*. CAB International, Cambridge: 123-146.
5. Jensen, P. & Toates, F.M. 1997. Stress as a State of Motivational Systems. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*, 53: 145-156.
6. Ladewig, J. 1987. Endocrine Aspects of Stress: Evaluation of Stress Reactions in Farm Animals. In, P.R. Wiepkema & P.W.M. van Adrichem (Eds.), *Biology of Stress in Farm Animals*.

7. Moberg, G.P. 1987a. A Model for Assessing the Impact of Behavioural Stress on Domestic Animals. *Journal of Animal Science*: 65, 1228-1235.
8. Moberg, G.P. 1987b. Problems in Defining Stress and Distress in Animals. *JAVMA*: 191, 1207-1211.
9. Moberg, G.P. 1996. Suffering from Stress: An Approach for Evaluating the Welfare of an Animal. *Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Section A, Animal Science*: 27, 46-49.
10. Moberg, G.P. 1999. When Does Stress Become Distress? *Lab Animal*: 28, 22-26.
11. Mostl E. and Palme R. 2002. Hormones as Indicators of Stress. *Domestic Animal Endocrinology* 23: 67-74.
12. Rushen, J. 1991b. Problems Associated with the Interpretation of Physiological Data in the Assessment of Animal Welfare. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*: 28, 381-386.
13. Smith R. and Dobson H. 2002. Hormonal Interactions Within the Hypothalamus and Pituitary with Respect to Stress and Reproduction in Sheep. *Domestic Animal Endocrinology* 23: 75-85.
14. Svendsen, O & Lykkegaard, K. 2001. Neuronal c-Fos Immunoreactivity as a Quantitative Measure of Stress or Pain. *Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Section A, Animal Science, Supplementum*: 30, 131-134.
15. Thomas P. and McCann C. 1997. The Effectiveness of Cortisol as a Physiological Marker of Well-Being in Zoo Animals. AZA Annual Conference Proceedings: 524-529.

Welfare Overview 1

1. Clark, J.D., Rager, D.R. & Calpin, J.P. 1997. Animal Well-being. I. General Considerations. *Laboratory Animal Science*: 47, 564-570.
2. Clark, J.D., Rager, D.R. & Calpin, J.P. 1997. Animal Well-being. II. Stress and distress. *Laboratory Animal Science*: 47, 571-579.

3. Clark, J.D., Rager, D.R. & Calpin, J.P. 1997. Animal Well-being. III. An Overview of Assessment. *Laboratory Animal Science*: 47, 580-584.

4. Clark, J.D., Rager, D.R. & Calpin, J.P. 1997. Animal Well-being. IV. Specific Assessment Criteria. *Laboratory Animal Science*: 47, 571-579.

Welfare Overview 2

1. Bracke, M.B.M., Metz, J.H.M. & Spruijt, B.M. 1999. Overall Animal Welfare Reviewed. Part 1: Is it Possible? *Netherlands Journal of Agricultural Science*: 47, 279-291.

2. Bracke, M.B.M., Metz, J.H.M. & Spruijt, B.M. 1999. Overall Animal Welfare Reviewed. Part 2: Assessment Tables and Schemes. *Netherlands Journal of Agricultural Science*: 47, 293-305.

3. Bracke, M.B.M., Metz, J.H.M. & Spruijt, B.M. 1999. Overall Animal Welfare Reviewed. Part 3: Welfare Assessment Based on Needs and Supported by Expert Opinion. *Netherlands Journal of Agricultural Science*: 47, 307-322.

Wild Animal Welfare

1. Kirkwood, J.K., Sainsbury, A.W. & Bennett, P.M. 1994. The Welfare of Free-living Wild Animals: Methods of Assessment. *Animal Welfare*: 3, 257-273.

Zoos & Animal Welfare

1. Animal Welfare Advisory Committee. 1999. Code of Recommendations for the Welfare of Exhibit Animals and Information for Animal Exhibit Operators. *Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry*: 1-34.
2. Carlstead, K. 1999. Assessing and Addressing Animal Welfare in Zoos. *The Shape of Enrichment* 8(4): 6-10.

3. Carlstead, K. 2000. Assessing and Addressing Animal Welfare in Zoos.
4. Markowitz H., Gavazzi A. 1995. Eleven Principles for Improving the Quality of Captive Animal Life. *Lab Animal*: April 1995, 30-33.
5. Veasey, J.S., Waran, N.K. & Young, R.J. 1996a. On Comparing the Behaviour of Zoo Housed Animals with Wild Conspecifics as a Welfare Indicator. *Animal Welfare*: 5, 13-24.

Welfare Articles-Species

Amphibians

Cabanac A. and Cabanac M. 2000. Heart Rate Response to Gentle Handling of Frog and Lizard. *Behavioural Processes*: 52, 89-95.

Ostrich

1. Lambert M., Deeming D., Sibly R. and Ayers L. 1995. The Relationship Between Pecking Behaviour and Growth Rate of Ostrich Chicks in Captivity. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*: 46: 93-101.
2. More S. 1996. The Performance of Farmed Ostrich Hens in Eastern Australia. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine*. 29: 107-120.

Cats

Olfert E., Cross B., and McWilliam A. 1993. Cats. *Guide to the Care and Use of Experimental Animals: Volume 1*.

Canids

Olfert E., Cross B., and McWilliam A. 1993. Dogs. *Guide to the Care and Use of Experimental Animals: Volume 1*.

Elephants

1. Chatkupt T. and Sollod A. 1999. Elephants in Thailand: Determinants of Health and Welfare in Working Populations. *Journal of Applied Animal Welfare Science* 2(3): 187-203.
2. Elephant Managers Association. Standard Guidelines for Elephant Management.
3. Foley C., Papageorge S. and Wasser S. 2001. Noninvasive Stress and Reproductive Measures of Social and Ecological Pressures in Free-ranging African Elephants. *Conservation Biology*: 15(4): 1134-1142.
4. Olson D. and Wiese R. 2000. State of the North American African Elephant Populations and Projections for the Future. *Zoo Biology*: 19:311-320.
5. RSPCA. Live Hard, Die Young: How Elephants Suffer in Zoos. RSPCA, www.rspca.org.uk: 1-12.
6. Toscano M., Friend T. and Nevill C. (n.d.) Environmental Conditions and Body Temperatures of Circus Elephants Transported During Relatively High and Low Temperature Conditions. *JEMA*: 12(3): 115-149.
7. Wiese R. 2000. Asian Elephants are not Self-Sustaining in North America. *Zoo Biology*: 19:299-309.

Hoofstock

Mostl E., Maggs J., Schrotter G., et. al. 2002. Measurements of Cortisol Metabolites in Feces of Ruminants. *Veterinary Research Communications*: 26: 127-139.

Domestics

Silanikove N. 2000. Effects of Heat Stress on the Welfare of Extensively Managed Domestic Ruminants. *Livestock Production Science*: 67: 1-18.

Giraffe/Okapi

1. Bashaw M., Tarou L, Maki T. and Maple T. 2001. A Survey Assessment of Variables Related to Stereotypy in Captive Giraffe and Okapi. *Applied animal Behaviour Science*: 73: 235-247.
2. Veasey JS, Waran NK and Young RJ. 1996. On Comparing the Behavior of Zoo Housed Animals with Wild Conspecifics as a Welfare Indicator, Using the Giraffe as a model. *Animal Welfare* (5): 139-153.

Invertebrates

Sherwin C. 2001. Can Invertebrates Suffer? Or, How Robust is Argument by Analogy? *Animal Welfare*, 10: 103-118.

Kangaroo & Wallaby

Moss G. and Croft D. 1999. Body Condition of the Red Kangaroo in Arid Australia: The Effect of Environmental Condition Sex and Reproduction. *Australian Journal of Biology*: 24: 97-109.

Pigs

1. Broom DM. 1996. A Review of Animal Welfare Measurement in Pigs. *Pig News and Information*: 17(4): 109N-114N.
2. Manteuffel G. and Schon P. n.d. Measuring Pig Welfare by Automatic Monitoring of Stress Calls. *Bornimer Agrartechnische Berichte*.

Primates

1. Committee on Well-Being of Nonhuman Primates. 1998. The Psychological Well-Being of Nonhuman Primates. *National Academy Press*: 1-168.

2. Olfert E., Cross B., and McWilliam A. 1993. Non-Human Primates. *Guide to the Care and Use of Experimental Animals: Volume 1*.
3. Whittaker M., Laule G., Perlman J., Shapiro S. and Keeling M. A Behavioral Management Approach to Caring for Great Apes. The Apes: Challenges for the 21st Century. 131-133.

Gorillas

Elsner R. 2002. Techniques that Promote the Psychological Well-being of Captive Primates and their Application in the Husbandry and Management of Gorillas (*Gorilla gorilla gorilla*) and Chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes*) at Lincoln Park Zoo at Lester E. Fisher Great Ape House. *Animal Keeper's Forum*: 29(2): 66-84.

Marmosets and Tamarins

1. Schnell, C.R. & Wood, J.M. (1993). Measurement of Blood Pressure, Heart Rate, Body Temperature, ECG and Activity by Telemetry in Conscious Unrestrained Marmosets. *Welfare and Science: Proceedings of the Fifth Symposium of the Federation of European Laboratory Animal Science Associations, 8-11th June 1993, Brighton, UK*, pp.107-111.
2. Ziegler T., Scheffler G. and Snowdon C. 1995. The Relationship of Cortisol Levels to Social Environment and Reproductive Functioning in Female Cotton-Top Tamarins. *Hormones and Behavior* 29: 407-424.

Reptiles

1. Baird-Day L., Crews D., and Wilczynski W. 1999. Spatial and Reversal Learning in Congeneric Lizards with Different Foraging Strategies. *Animal Behaviour*: 57, 393-407.
2. Burghardt G. 1992. Prior Exposure to Prey Cues Influences Chemical Prey Preference and Prey Choice in Neonatal Garter Snakes. *Animal Behaviour*: 44, 787-789.

3. Burghardt G. and Krause M. 1999. Plasticity of Foraging Behavior in Garter Snakes (*Thamnophis sirtalis*) Reared on Different Diets. *Journal of Comparative Psychology*: 113(3): 277-285.
4. Drummond H. and Garcia M. 1995. Congenital Responsiveness of Garter Snakes to a Dangerous Prey Abolished by Learning. *Animal Behaviour*: 49, 891-900.
5. Fuenzalida C. and Ulrich G. 1975. Escape Learning in the Plains Garter Snake, *Thamnophis radix*. *Bulletin of the Psychonomic Society*: 6(2): 134-136.
6. Goldberg L., Hart W., and Wilson D. 1999. Learning Foraging Thresholds for Lizards: An Analysis of a Simple Learning Algorithm. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*: 197, 361-369.
7. Halloy M. and Burghardt G. 1990. Ontogeny of Fish Capture and Ingestion in Four Species of Garter Snakes (*Thamnophis*). *Behavior*: 112(3-4): 299-318.
8. Herzog H., Bowers B., and Burghardt G. 1992. Development of Antipredator Responses in Snakes: V. Species Differences in Ontogenetic Trajectories. *Developmental Psychobiology*: 25(3): 199-211.
9. Holtzman D., et. al. 1999. Spatial Learning of an Escape Task by Young Corn Snakes, *Elaphe guttata guttata*. *Animal Behaviour*: 57, 51-60.
10. Kaufman J., Burghardt G. and Phillips J. 1994. Density-dependant Foraging Strategy of a Large Carnivorous Lizard, the Savanna Monitor (*Varanus albigularis*). *Journal of Comparative Psychology*: 108(4): 381-384.
11. Lopez J, et al. 2000. Place and Cue Learning in Turtles. *Animal Learning & Behavior*: 28(4): 360-372.
12. Marcellini D. and Jenssen T. 1991. Avoidance Learning by the Curly-tailed Lizard, *Leiocephalus schreibersi*: Implications for Anti-predator Behavior. *Journal of Herpetology*: 25(2): 238-241.
13. Nomura S. and Gunji Y. 2000. Autonomous Choice in the Learning Process of a Turtle, *Chinemys reevesii*. *BioSystems* 56: 33-42.

14. Papini M. and Ishida M. 1994. Role of Magnitude of Reinforcement in Spaced-trial Instrumental Learning in Turtles (*Geoclemys reevesii*). *The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*: 47B(1): 1-13.
15. Porter R. and Czaplicki J. 1974. Shedding Facilitates Exposure Learning in the Garter Snakes (*Thamnophis sirtalis*). *Physiology and Behavior*: 12, 75-77.
16. Richardson A. and Julian S. 1974. Avoidance Learning in the Lizard *Dipsosaurus dorsalis*. *Psychological Reports*: 35, 35-40.
17. Rothblum L, Watkins J. and Jenssen T. 1979. A learning Paradigm and the Behavioral Demonstration of Audition for Lizard *Anolis grahami*. *Copeia* 3, 490-494.
18. Schall J. 2000. Learning in Free-ranging Populations of the Whiptail Lizard *Cnemidophorus murinus*. *Herpetologica*: 56(1): 38-45.
19. Stone A., Ford N. and Holtzman D. 2000. Spatial Learning and Shelter Selection by Juvenile Spotted Pythons, *Anteresia maculosus*. *Journal of Herpetology*: 34(4): 575-587.
20. Suboski M. 1992. Releaser-induced Recognition Learning by Amphibians and Reptiles. *Animal Learning & Behavior*: 20(1): 63-82.
21. Williams J. 1968. Reversal-Learning in the Spectacled Caiman.

Crocodiles

Lance V. and Elsey R. 1986. Stress-Induced Suppression of Testosterone Secretion in Male Alligators. *The Journal of Experimental Zoology*: 239: 241-246.

Monitors & Lizards

1. Elphick M. and Shine R. 1998. Long-term Effects of Incubation Temperatures on the Morphology and Locomotor Performance of Hatchling Lizards (*Bassiana duperreyi*, Scincidae). *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*: 63, 429-447.

2. Gleeson T. The Effects of Endurance Training and Captivity on the Activity Energetics of the Lizard, *Sceloporus occidentalis*. *Abstracts*, 931.

Turtles & Tortoise

Cabanac M. and Bernieri C. 2000. Behavioural Rise in Body Temperature and Tachycardia by Handling of a Turtle (*Clemmys insculpta*). *Behavioural Processes*: 49, 61-68.

Rodents/Small Mammals

1. Hobbs B. 1990. Assessment of Painful Procedures. *Guidelines for the Well-Being of Rodents in Research*: 70-79.

2. Krohn, Hejgaard and Hansen. 2001. Methods of General Assessment of the Welfare of Laboratory Rats. *Acta Agriculture Scandinavica* 30: 118-123.

3. Olfert E., Cross B., and McWilliam A. 1993. Rodents and Rabbits. *Guide to the Care and Use of Experimental Animals: Volume 1*.

4. Patterson-Kane, Hunt and Harper. 1999. Behavioral Indexes of Poor Welfare in Laboratory Rats. *Journal of Applied Animal Welfare Science* 2(2): 97-110.

5. Warburton H. and Nicol C. 2001. The Relationship Between Behavioral Priorities and Animal Welfare: A Test using the Laboratory Mouse *Mus musculus*. *Acta Agriculture Scandinavica* 30: 124-130.